UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,423	05/10/2005	Yasuo Hayashi	2005_0747A	4714
	7590 12/16/200 , LIND & PONACK, I	EXAMINER		
1030 15th Street, N.W., Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			LEA, CHRISTOPHER RAYMOND	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1619	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Reexamination	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
	10/534,423	HAYASHI ET AL. Art Unit		
	YVONNE L. EYLER	1619		
Document Code - AP.PRE.	DEC			

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for R	Review filed
 Improper Request – The Request is improper reason(s): 	and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed cond☐ The request does not include reasons why a☐ A proposed amendment is included with the☐ Other: .	review is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run the mail date of the last Office communication, if no l	n from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under appeal because is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance working will be reset to be one month from mailing this crunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which	erferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant vith 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal decision, or the balance of the two-month time period thever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the dupon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
☐ The panel has determined the status of the Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>39-76</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	claim(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits applicant at this time.	
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by	
All participants:	
(1) /YVONNE L. EYLER/.	(3) <u>Christopher Lea</u> .
(2) Anthony Caputa.	(4)